

Introduction

Time series analyses of GPS positions derived from permanent observing stations reveal both vertical and horizontal movements of the Fennoscandian crust caused by postglacial rebound phenomenon (Milne et al., 2001; Scherneck et al., 2003). The geographical distribution of vertical velocities is similar to previous results derived from multiepoch precise levelling and tide gauge records (Ekman, 1996). A maximum uplift of 10 mm per year is observed in the northern part of the Bay of Bothnia. An almost symmetrical reduction to 0 mm per year takes place over a distance roughly corresponding to the present western coastline of Norway. The oval shaped uplift area has its major axis oriented in azimuth direction \sim 35° (i.e. approximately northeast). The linear extension is 1750 km along the major axis Figure 1: Model rates from and 1000 km along the minor axis.



-10 -8 -6 -4 -2 0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 Land surface uplift rates (model)

BIFROST (Milne et al., 2001)

The geometric height change in the centre area suggests a gravity change of -2 μ gal/year for a footprint of diameter a few hundred km, implying a geoid rise of 0.6 mm/year. The time derivative of the geoid height N is

$$\dot{N} = \frac{R}{4\pi\gamma} \iint_{\sigma} H(\psi)(\dot{g} + \frac{2\gamma}{r}\dot{h})d\sigma, \tag{1}$$

where N, h, g are temporal change of geoid height, ellipsoidal height, and gravity, respectively (Hotine, 1969). GPS and absolute gravimetry allows detection of this phenomenon, but may require time series of several years to determine accurate values of the derivatives. Starting in 2002, data for a time series of geoid change is being collected by the dedicated satellite gravimetry mission GRACE. In-situ observations may thus be used for a future validation of the space mission results.

A multi-national cooperation in terrestrial absolute gravimetry has been initiated to address this problem (Müller et al., 2003a,b). It is coordinated under the auspices of the Nordic Geodetic Commission, thus allowing the cooperation and support of the national mapping agencies. The entire absolute gravity network consists of more than 30 potential observing sites located adjacent to or in the vicinity of permanent GPS stations. In coastal areas there are also tide gauges near many of them. The absolute gravity sites are connected by levelling to GPS stations using terrestrial surveying techniques, and to tide gauges by relative GPS between the gravity station and tide gauge. The local gravity gradient is determined with relative gravimeters to correct the measured results to a conventional reference height. Annual campaigns during the next several years will revisit up to 30 sites prepared by the national mapping agencies in Denmark, Finland, Norway, and Sweden. For the purpose of instrument comparison, some sites will be visited by more than one instrument. A few sites will also be monitored regularly throughout the year.

Observing program

In 2003 observations were made with FG5 # 220, 221, and 301. In 2004 observations were made with FG5 # 220, 221, and 226. Post processing was made using final values of Earth axis polar motion



Accuracy Assessment of Absolute Gravity Control in Fennoscandia

B.R. Pettersen (4), L. Timmen (1), O. Gitlein (1), J. Mäkinen (2), M. Bilker (2), R. Falk (3), A. Reinhold (3), H. Wilmes (3), O.C.D. Omang (4), J.G.G. Svendsen (4), D. I. Lysaker (4)

(1) Institut für Erdmessung, Universität Hannover, Germany, (2) Finnish Geodetic Institute, Finland, NKG Geodynamics Workshop, Masala, Finland, May 2005

(3) Bundesamt für Kartographie und Geodäsie, Germany, (4) Department of Mathematical Sciences and Technology, UMB, Norway

from IERS bulletin B and empirically determined gravity gradients for each site. Various approaches exist to validate the consistency of observational results obtained with the individual instruments. The most direct method is to obtain simultaneous observations with two instruments at the same site. This approach should minimize differences in observing conditions with results for a particular monument being separated by only one day for the two instruments. These events are identified in Table 1. The two instruments were mounted on each monument and observations were carried out for about one day. The instruments then swapped monuments and the observations were repeated.

An indirect comparison may be made from observations at the same site with two instruments, but separated in time by weeks, months or even a year. This situation occurs because the observing plan brings more than one instrument to some sites during each observing season. These events are identified in Table 2. For sites with no uplift and sufficient monitoring of local geophysical conditions, data from adjacent years may in principle be compared for consistency assessment as well (Table 3).



Figure 2: Absolute gravity sites in Fennoscandia

Results and discussion

Direct comparison of observational results for pairs of instruments that measured simultaneously at the same site, may be made from Table Each site thus produces gravity differences for two monuments, identified by a two-letter designation. The sites Bad Homburg in Germany and Metsähovi in Finland have been visited more than once for comparison purposes.

No time dependency is apparent in the data of Table 1. All four instruments appear to perform similarly with respect to accuracy in 2003 and 2004.

Indirect comparisons between pairs of instruments that have visited the same site within a time span of weeks or months may be made from Table 2. Column 2 lists the dates observed by #220, while the dates pertaining to the other instruments are listed in column 6. Again,

no time dependency is evident. A third approach is to compare results from the same sites even when they were observed by two instruments separated in time by a full year or more (Table 3). A real instrument comparison can only be made for sites with very small vertical movement. Stavanger, Ålesund, and Tromsø are sites where vertical velocities may be estimated from time series produced by permanent GPS stations and from tide gauge records. Kristiansen (2003) obtained vertical velocities (relative to the mass center of the Earth) of 0.4, 0.9, and 0.8 mm per year from seven years of GPS data for Stavanger, Ålesund, and Tromsø, respectively. Archival tide gauge data from the Norwegian Hydrographic Office yields a sea level rise in Stavanger (1919-2004) of 0.2 mm per year, and in Ålesund (1961-2004) of 0.7 mm per year. In Tromsø (1952-2004) the sea level drops by 0.8 mm per year. The expected annual gravity change for these three sites is thus significantly less than 1 μ Gal. Other sites, although with expected larger uplift rates, are Hønefoss, Trysil, and Trondheim, all of which were observed by two instruments in 2003 (see Table 2) and by a third instrument (#226) in 2004.

Site Onsala AS Skellefteå Trondheim Trysil AC Hønefoss

Ås Metsähovi Metsähovi Vaasa AB

Site _____ Stavanger Ålesund Tromsø A Hønefoss

Trysil AC Trondheim

Table 1: Gravity differences (μ Gal) for two instruments simultaneously at the same

0110					
Site	Date	220-221	220-226	220-301	Remarks
Bad Homburg AA	10.02.2003			+2.5	
Bad Homburg AA	11.11.2003			+3.8	
Bad Homburg BA	11.02.2003			-0.4	
Bad Homburg BA	10.11.2003			+3.6	
Metsähovi AB	19.08.2003	+0.7			
Metsähovi AB	12-15.05.2004	-0.3			
Metsähovi AC	18.08.2003	-3.7			
Metsähovi AC	12-14.05.2004	+4.4			
Onsala AS	25-28.10.2004	+0.3	-2.3		
Onsala AN	25-28.10.2004	+1.5	-1.4		
Vaasa AA	22.08.2003	-3.2			
Vaasa AB	24.08.2003	-3.2			
Walferdange	3-7.11.2003	-2.7		-0.1	Francis <i>et al.</i> (2004)

Table 2: Gravity differences (μ Gal) for sites observed non-simultaneously

	Date (FG5-220)	220-221	220-226	220-301	Date (FG5-XXX)
S	13.06.2003			+1.1	301: 15.07.2003
	2830.08.2003			-3.0	301: 09.07.2003
ר AA	1416.09.2003			-4.2	301: 29.06.2003
	2324.09.2003			-0.2	301: 24.06.2003
AC	2728.09.2003			-4.8	301: 22.06.2003
	1920.03.2004		+2.2		226: 1424.04.2004
i AB	03.07.2004	+2.7		+5.4	221: 1314.05.2004
i AC	04.07.2004	+3.0		+4.7	301: 12.07.2004
	1719.05.2004	+1.4			221:2123.05.2004

Table 3: Gravity differences (μ Gal) for sites observed one year apart Dates 220/301 220-226 220-301 301-226 Dates

	Dates 220/301	220-220	220-301	301-220	Dates
r AA	18.06.2003			-5.5	35.11.2004
	18-21.09.2003	-0.7			2021.07.2004
A	19-20.06.2004		+6.2		03.07.2003
AC	See Table 2	-2.6		+2.2	2631.05.2004
	See Table 2	-0.8		-0.6	0104.06.2004
n AA	See Table 2	-8.1		-3.9	2326.06.2004

Conclusions

Based on the currently available material the average differences between instruments are derived in Table 4.

The non-simultaneous comparisons apparently increase with increasing time difference between observations. For a time interval of many months to a year it is possible that seasonal effects at some stations contribute to the observed difference. A contribution from station motion may also enter for those stations that are not strictly zero uplift.

We identify the need to monitor the long term stability of each instrument by a continued repetition of simultaneous observations at quiet sites (e.g. Bad Homburg, Metsähovi, Onsala, Trysil). It will also be helpful to collect time series at some of these sites at a frequency of several observations per year. Hydrological data should be collected in order to develop future corrections for variable levels of groundwater. At coastal stations, especially in Norway, wind driven sea level variations affect the gravity results differently at different locations. Studies should be conducted to identify surges and correct for them. In conclusion it appears that a single instrument at a single site obtains an overall accuracy of \pm 3 μ Gal or better, in accordance with the project goal to provide in situ gravity changes in Fennoscandia with sufficient accuracy to support the GRACE data evaluation.

Type of obs.	220-221	220-226	220-301	301-226
Simultaneous Non-simultaneous Annual	-0.7 \pm 2.7 (n=9) 2.4 \pm 0.9 (n=3)	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{-1.9} \pm 0.6 \; (\text{n=2}) \\ 2.2 \; (\text{n=1}) \\ \textbf{-3.1} \pm 3.5 \; (\text{n=4}) \end{array}$	$1.9 \pm 2.0 \text{ (n=5)} \\ -0.1 \pm 4.1 \text{ (n=7)} \\ 6.2 \text{ (n=1)}$	-2.0 \pm 3.4 (n=4)

References

- 2381-2385.

Table 4: The average gravity differences (μ Gal) between instruments

Ekman, M. (1996). A consistent map of the postglacial uplift of Fennoscandia. Terra Nova, 8, 158–165.

Francis, O., van Dam, T., Amalvict, M., de Sousa, M. A., Bilker, M., Billson, R., Agostino, G. D., Desogus, S., Falk, R., Germak, A., Gitlein, O., Jonhson, D., Klopping, F., Kostelecky, J., Luck, B., Mäkinen, J., McLaughlin, D., Nunez, E., Origlia, C., Palinkas, V., Richard, P., Rodriguez, E., Ruess, D., Schmerge, D., Thies, S., Timmen, L., Camp, M. V., van Westrum, D., and Wilmes, H. (2004). Results of the international comparison of absolute gravimeters in walferdange (luxembourg) of november 2003. In Submitted to Proceedings of the Gravity, Geoid and Space Missions - GGSM2004. IAG.

Hotine, M. (1969). *Mathematical Geodesy*. ESSA Monograph 2. U.S. Dept. of Commerce, Washington, D.C.

Kristiansen, O. (2003). Continuous gps measurements of intraplate deformations in norway. Presented at EGS, Nice, France.

Milne, G., Davis, J., Mitrovica, J., Scherneck, H.-G., Johansson, J., Vermeer, M., and Koivula, H. (2001). Space-geodetic constraints on glacial isostatic adjustment in Fennoscandia. Science, 291(23),

Müller, J., Denker, H., and Timmen, L. (2003a). Absolute gravimetry in the fennoscandian land uplift area: Monitoring of temporal gravity changes for grace. *Geotechnologien Science Report*, (3), 112–115.

Müller, J., Timmen, L., Denker, H., and Gitlein, O. (2003b). Absolute gravimetry in the fennoscandian land uplift area: Monitoring of temporal gravity changes for grace. Presented at XXIII General Assembly of the IUGG, Sapporo, Japan.

Scherneck, H.-G., Johansson, J., Koivula, H., van Dam, T., and Davis, J. (2003). Vertical crustal motion observed in the BIFROST project. *Journal of Geodynamics*, **35**, 425–441.