Hydrology notes

Jaakko Mäkinen



Sodankylä borehole well, courtesy of Tero Raita A Very Expensive Percolation Gauge



Two alternatives

- (i) Do correlation of hydrological time series and gravity, scale e.g. the groundwater time series to gravity by using the observed gravity
- (ii) Do physical modelling: local hydrological observations, determination of soil and rock properties, determination of rock and soil geometry

I. Correlation approach using e.g. groundwater (Fennoscandia)

- to first order, you do not need local observations
- variation in storage has the same temporal pattern over large areas
- i.e., GW series taken at "almost any sensible place" will have the same appearance except for scale
- if you fit to gravity for scale anyway, this does not matter
- soil moisture will be approximately in phase and will be fitted simultaneously
- regional/global loading too

II. Physical modelling

- Map topography of soil surface, soil interfaces and rock surface
- Determine soil properties in laboratory
- Install soil moisture gauges around the station at various depths; horizontal resolution depends on lateral homogeneity
- Install groundwater gauges
- If GW table in soil, determine specific yield using laboratory data (pF curve) and pumping tests
- If GW "table" in fractures of crystalline bedrock, determine transport by pumping, fracture space by drilling

II. Physical modelling (continued)

- in practice full modelling only affordable on SG sites and AG home sites
- COST ES0701 WG1 AG subgroup Workshop March 16-17 looks for "reasonable" solutions for AG sites

Joensuu absolute site December 1999

